

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/705,662	2	11/10/2003	Alfred D. Commins	SST/1367	6146	
498	7590	06/09/2004		EXAMINER		
	R. CYPHE	R	HORTON, YVONNE MICHELE			
405 14T SUITE 1	H STREET 1607		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
OAKLA	ND, CA 94	4612	3635			
				DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/705,662	COMMINS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Yvonne M. Horton	3635				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 10. (35.U.S.C. 8.133)				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 No	ovember 2003.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[te this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1 and 23-30 is/are pending in the appl	ication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		,				
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 23-30</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	on Papers						
9) 🗆 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
			ed to by the Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction						
11)[] 1	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152				
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	•	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 110(a)	(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* S	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	(s).						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) 🔲 Inform Paper	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Tra	4.00						
OL-326 (Re	4.00	ion Summary Pai	t of Paper No./Mail Date 20040601				

Application/Control Number: 10/705,662

Art Unit: 3635

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

The claims are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over the claims of U. S. Patent No. 6,643,986 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent. The claim correlation is as follows:

The Instant Application	<u>US #6,643,986</u>
1	1,19-27
23	1,19-27
25	26
27	3
28	5
29	7

Application/Control Number: 10/705,662

Art Unit: 3635

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

A diaphragm including a structural panel, a plurality of framing members, a plurality of perimeter fasteners, and a means for reducing bending consisting of perimeter edging members formed along a first face member.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,23,27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent #5,706,626 to MUELLER. In reference to claims 1 and 23, MUELLER discloses the use of shear panel (100) including a structural panel (110a,b); a plurality of framing members disposed in registry with a proximal side of the structural panel (110a,b); and a plurality of perimeter fasteners (124); wherein the framing members (102a,b) (104) (106) (140) serve as a means for reducing bending, column 8, lines 64-67, and the bending means (102a,b) (104) (106) (140) having a portion (120) on the distal sides such that the fasteners (124) does not extend there beyond. Regarding

Application/Control Number: 10/705,662

Art Unit: 3635

claim 24, the fasteners are closely spaced. In reference to claim 27, the means for reducing bending is a perimeter edging member (102a,b) (104) (106) (140) disposed near an edge face of the structural panel (110a,b). Regarding claim 28, the perimeter edging member (102a,b) (104) (106) (140) has a first face member (120). In reference to claim 29, the first face (120) is disposed on the distal side of the structural panel (110a,b). Regarding claim 30, the means for reducing bending (102a,b) (104) (106) (140) is made from light gauge sheet metal.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Page 5

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent #5,706,626 to MUELLER. MUELLER discloses the basic claimed shear panel except for explicitly detail a fastener spacing of 2 inches and except for disclosing that his structural panels are wood. Regarding claim 25, MUELLER discloses a fastener spacing of 4 inches. Although MUELLER does not detail a spacing of 2 inches, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the spacing is selected to depend upon the required rigidity of the connection and the material of the members forming the connection. For instance, an all metal panel used for installation in a building known for earthquake exposure might need the fasteners to be spaced closer; whereas if the very same panel were used in a building not known for earthquake exposure would do well with fasteners not so closely spaced. In reference to claim 26, again, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a known material suitable for the use intended as an obvious matter of design choice. A wood panel for use in a low stress imposed residential environment performs well whereas a metal panel performs well in building in environments having large stresses imposed thereon.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3635

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne M. Horton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1909. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl D. Friedman can be reached on (703) 308-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YMH June 1, 2004